

©
Government of Kerala
കേരള സർക്കാർ
2010



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ
KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LV വാല്യം 55	} THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	11th May 2010 2010 മേയ് 11	} No. 19 നമ്പർ
		21st Vaisakha 1932 1932 വൈശാഖം 21	

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 383/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Indian Coffee Board Workers Co-operative Society Limited No. 4227, M G Road, Thrissur-680 001 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri M. P. Rajan, Kizhakkottil Veedu, Nedupuzha P. O., Thrissur-680 015 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the termination of service of Shri M. P. Rajan, Counter Clerk by the management of Indian Coffee Board Workers Co-operative Society Limited 4227, Thrissur is justifiable ?
2. If not what relief he is entitled to get ?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 385/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri K. R. Jayachandran, Proprietor, Jaya Bakery & Ice Cream Parlour, Kanjani P. O., Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri P. K. Sasi, s/o Kunjiraman, Panamukkathu Veedu, Porathoor, Alappadu, Thrissur District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to Shri P. K. Sasi, Helper, Jaya Bakery & Ice Cream Parlour, Kanjani, Thrissur by the employer is Justifiable ?
2. If not what relief he is entitled to get?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 386/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 6th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Malabar Cements, Walayar, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Malabar Cements Employees Association (INTUC), Walayar, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the suspension from service and reduction of two increments with cumulative effect of Shri T. K. Karthikeyan, Typist-Clerk of Malabar Cements Limited based on the report of Enquiry Officer is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 413/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Kerala Ayurvedic Limited, Athani P. O., 686 585 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Shri M. K. Santhosh, Mekkonath Veedu, Kurumassery, Priyappady, Kurumassery P. O., Ernakulam, 2. The Secretary, Kerala Ayurveda Employees Union (CITU), Kerala Ayurvedic Limited, Athani P. O., 686 585 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri M. K. Santhosh, Charge man from the service of M/s. Kerala Ayurvedic Limited, Kottayi Unit by the Management is justifiable ? If not what relief he is entitled to get ?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 415/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Partner, Sastha Enterprises, Thamarakulam, Kollam-691 001 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kashuvandi Thozhilali Centre, All India UTUC, HO No. 9, Parappattu Building, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct

that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of monthly wages of Roasters to Shri Prasanth and Shri Prasad, daily wages in the Sastha Enterprises, Karuvelil by the management is justifiable? If not what relief they are entitled to get ?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 416/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Manager, Pallivasal Estate, Munnar, Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Workers Congress [INTUC (I), Reg. No. 02-0-93], Munnar P.O., Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri. Mahesh, 6023, Worker, Nursery Division, Pallivasal Estate, Munnar by the Management is justifiable or not? If not what relief he is entitled to ?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 417/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Pallivasal Estate, Munnar, Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Workers Congress, Munnar P. O., Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct

that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri Souriyar, 4084, Worker, Pallivasal Estate, Munnar by the Management is justifiable or not? If not what relief he is entitled to ?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 421/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Father Thomas Kodinattumkunnel, Secretary, Pushpagiri Medical Society, Pushpagiri Medical College Hospital, Thiruvalla-689 101 and the workman of the above referred Shri P. V. Mathew, Pallikkal Veedu, Kottanadu P.O., Vrindavanam, Pathanamthitta District-689 615 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri. P. V. Mathew, Electrician, Pushpagiri Medical College Hospital, Thiruvalla by the Management is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to ?

(9)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 491/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 10th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Peringottukara Namboodhiri Yoga Kshema Sabha Credit and Investment Limited, Anthikadu, Thrissur District-679 301 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. T. Narayanan, Kodangathu Chorli Mana, Lakkidi P. O., Palakkad District-679 301 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri K.T. Narayanan, Attender of Peringottukara Yoga Kshema Sabha Credit and Investment Limited, Anthikadu, Thrissur by the management is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to get?

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 446/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 15th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Cochin Naval Base Civilian Employees Society Limited No. 4146, Naval Base P. O., Kochi-4 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. M. R. Sobhana, W/o. Madhusoodhanan, Kalasseril Veedu, Ayyankali Road, Kumbalam P. O.-692 506 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. M. R. Sobhana, Daily Wages Clerk of Cochin Naval Base Civilian Employees Society by the management is justifiable? If not what relief she is entitled to get?

(11)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 456/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 15th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Wallardie Estate, M/s. Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Vandiperiyar, Idukki District and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Ramachandran, CR No. 2860, Wallardie Estate, Wallardie P.O., Vandiperiyar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the dismissal of Shri Ramachandran, CR No. 2860, Permanent Worker by the management of Wallardie Estate, Vandiperiyar is justifiable?
2. If not what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(12)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 457/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 15th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri M. M. Shaji (Licensee, TS No. 41, Kothamangalam Excise Range 2008-09), Maikkal Veedu, Varappetty P. O., Kothamangalam-686 601 and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri Eldho, P. A., Plappuzha Veedu, Keerambara Village, Thattakkad P. O., Kothamangalam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri P. A. Eldho (LHDK 371), Salesman in TS No. 41 by the Licensee, Shri M. M. Shaji is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(13)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 463/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 15th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Group Manager, Harrisons Malayalam, Mooply Valley Estate, Karikulam, Palappilly P. O., Thrissur-680 304 and the workmen of

the above referred establishment represented by the President, Kerala State Estate and Plantation Worker's Union (TUCI), Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Palappilly, Aroma Building, II Floor, Puthukkad-680 301 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said Industrial Dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri P. A. Razak, Tapper of Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Mooply Valley Estate, Elicode Division, Palappilly by the management is justifiable ? If not what relief he is entitled to get ?

(14)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 465/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 15th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Pallivasal

Estate, Munnar, Idukki District and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Workers Congress, Munnar P.O., Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Smt. Jyothi, 4092, Field Worker of Nursery Division, Pallivasal Estate, Munnar by the management is justifiable or not ? If not what relief she is entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,

G. SIVAPRASAD,
Under Secretary to Government.